

Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science  
Copenhagen, April 20–21, 2017  
Assignment of commentators

April 5, 2017

Author	Title	Commentator email
<b>Roman Krzanowski</b>	Minimal Information Structural Realism (MISR)	<b>Mariana Vitti-Rodrigues</b> (mary_vitti@hotmail.com)
<b>Charles Beasley</b>	Meta-Parsimony and the Non-Human Animal Mindreading Debate	<b>Julie Godfrey</b> (julie_m@live.com.pt)
<b>João Pinheiro</b>	The Ontic Relational Unity of Science at the Foundations of Epistemic Integrative Pluralism	<b>Peter Gärdenfors and Andreas Stephens</b> (peter.gardenfors@lucs.lu.se; andreasstephens@gmail.com)
<b>Aleksandra Traykova</b>	Numerical vs. ethical values: how do we define “health”, “disease” and “ab/normality”?	<b>Roman Krzanowski</b> (rmkrzan@gmail.com)
<b>Christopher J. Austin</b>	Developmental Biology: A Matter of Form	<b>Valerio Buonomo and Sasha D’Ambrosio</b> (valerio.buonomo3@gmail.com; sasha.dambrosio@unimi.it)
<b>Jürgen Landes and Barbara Osimani</b>	Exact Replication or Varied Evidence?	<b>Saana Jukola</b> (sjukola@uni-bielefeld.de)
<b>Samantha Copeland</b>	Strategic Serendipity - Inferences and Institutions that Enable Scientific Discovery	<b>Mark Young</b> (Mark.Young@fof.uib.no)
<b>Petter Sandstad</b>	Formal Causation and Scientific Methodology	<b>Christopher J. Austin</b> (Christopherja@gmail.com)

<b>Author</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Commentator email</b>
<b>Victoria Höög</b>	Taking Ontology Seriously: Quine's Thesis of Holism and Underdetermination Applied to Visuality in the Sciences	<b>Nathan Oseroff</b> (nathanoserooff@gmail.com)
<b>Karim Baraghith and Christian J. Feldbacher-Escamilla</b>	Success-Based Inheritance in Cultural Evolution	<b>Charles Beasley</b> (beasleycharles@gmail.com)
<b>Kristin Kokkov</b>	How Natural Scientific Theories Relate to Cultural Interpretation: The Case of Interdisciplinary Research in Archaeology	<b>Rune Nyrup</b> (nyrup.rune@gmail.com)
<b>Valerio Buonomo and Sasha D'Ambrosio</b>	Organisms, processes, and the priority of diachronic identity	<b>Karim Baraghith and Christian J. Feldbacher-Escamilla</b> (cj.feldbacher.escamilla@gmail.com)
<b>Anders Strand and Gry Oftedal</b>	Restricted Causal Relevance	<b>Alexander Gebharter and Nina Retzlaff</b> (alexander.gebharter@gmail.com;nina.retzlaff@hhu.de)
<b>Harry Lewendon-Evans</b>	Re-orienting discussions on scientific understanding: a normative perspective	<b>Mike Stuart</b> (Mike.stuart.post@gmail.com)
<b>Sarah Wieten and Donal Khosrowi</b>	Putting rigour back into pragmatic trials	<b>Osman Caglar Dede</b> (dedecaglar@gmail.com)
<b>Nathan Oseroff</b>	The return of a demarcation problem	<b>Ben Martin</b> (benjamin.martin@ucl.ac.uk)
<b>Adam Pawel Kubiak and Pawel Kawalec</b>	An Epistemic-Ethical Justification of Pragmatic Value-Ladenness of Neyman-Pearson Hypothesis Testing	<b>Alberto Cordero</b> (acordelec@outlook.com)
<b>Alberto Cordero</b>	Advancing Content Selectivism	<b>Matthias Egg</b> (matthias.egg@philo.unibe.ch)
<b>Rasmus Jaksland</b>	Spacetime = Entanglement; and so what?	<b>J. Brian Pitts</b> (jbp25@cam.ac.uk)
<b>Mikkel Willum Johansen and Henrik Kragh Sørensen</b>	What the practice of research mathematicians can teach automated theorem proving	<b>Jürgen Landes and Barbara Osimani</b> (juergen_landes@yahoo.de)
<b>Julie Godfrey</b>	The problem of meta-laws for Dispositional Essentialism and Ontic Structural Realism	<b>Petter Sandstad</b> (Petter.Sandstad@gmail.com)
<b>Karolina Karpe and Frank Zenker</b>	Combining p-curve analysis and p-value distribution analysis to assess the extent of p-hacking and selective publishing for the highest-impact articles published in 1994, 2004, and 2014 in the Journal of Experimental Psychology: General	<b>Adam Pawel Kubiak and Pawel Kawalec</b> (adamkubiak@kul.pl)

<b>Author</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Commentator email</b>
<b>Saana Jukola</b>	On contested science and the ideals of good evidence – The case of nutrition research	<b>Aleksandra Traykova</b> (a.k.traykova@durham.ac.uk)
<b>Helge Malmgren</b>	Why Kant was at least almost right about space	<b>Rasmus Jakslund</b> (mtc941@alumni.ku.dk)
<b>George Masterton</b>	The epistemology of citation	<b>Karolina Karpe and Frank Zenker</b> (kkarpe@amu.edu.pl)
<b>Ben Martin</b>	The Dialetheism Debate: Motivating a New Epistemology of Logic	<b>Martin Mose Bentzen</b> (mmbe@dtu.dk)
<b>Rune Nystrup</b>	Three uses of analogy in archaeological theorising	<b>Kristin Kokkov</b> (kristin.kokkov@ut.ee)
<b>Mike Stuart</b>	A Framework for Epistemology of the Imagination	<b>Victoria Höög</b> (victoria.hoog@fil.lu.se)
<b>Martin Mose Bentzen</b>	The Ineliminable Distortion of Reality: On Causality, Representation, Abstraction and Idealization in Batterman’s Philosophy of Science	<b>George Masterton</b> (george.masterton@fil.lu.se)
<b>Huginn Freyr Thorsteinsson</b>	Making full use of heterogeneous reference potential	<b>Anthony Ferreira</b> (a.a.ferreira@laposte.net)
<b>Dragana Bozin</b>	Can Building Models Explain Conceptual Change in Science?	<b>Huginn Freyr Thorsteinsson</b> (huginn@unak.is)
<b>Matthias Egg</b>	Metaphysical Underdetermination in Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory	<b>Sebastian Lutz</b> (sebastian.lutz@gmx.net)
<b>Line Edslev Andersen</b>	Acceptable Gaps in Mathematical Proofs	<b>Mikkel Willum Johansen and Henrik Kragh Sørensen</b> (mwj@ind.ku.dk)
<b>James Grayot</b>	From selves to systems: Implications for the refinement and integration of models of human rationality	<b>Magdalena Malecka and Tomi Kokkonen</b> (malecka.magdalena@gmail.com)
<b>Sebastian Lutz</b>	Choosing How Far Concepts Travel	<b>Harry Lewendon-Evans</b> (h.e.lewendon-evans@durham.ac.uk)
<b>Magdalena Malecka and Tomi Kokkonen</b>	The Distinction between Epistemic and Institutional Concepts of Discipline and Why It Matters For the Philosophical Analysis of Interdisciplinarity	<b>Jaana Eigi</b> (jaana.eigi@gmail.com)
<b>Vlasta Sikimic</b>	Discovering disease-causing mechanisms: an argumentation perspective	<b>Barbara Osimani, Jürgen Landes and Roland Poellinger</b> (barbaraosimani@gmail.com)
<b>Martin Zach</b>	Modifying the fiction view of scientific models	<b>Vlasta Sikimic</b> (vlasta.sikimic@gmail.com)
<b>Mariana Vitti-Rodrigues</b>	Abduction and Inference to the Best Explanation in the context of Scientific Discovery	<b>Samantha Copeland</b> (samantha.marie.copeland@nmbu.no)

<b>Author</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Commentator email</b>
<b>Barbara Osimani, Jürgen Landes and Roland Poellinger</b>	Probabilistic Causal Inference through Evidence Amalgamation	<b>João Pinheiro</b> (joaopinheiro@hotmail.com)
<b>Jaana Eigi</b>	What does it mean for a research community to have shared norms?	<b>Line Edslev Andersen</b> (lea@css.au.dk)
<b>Peter Gärdenfors and Andreas Stephens</b>	Induction and knowledge-what	<b>Martin Zach</b> (m_zach@seznam.cz)
<b>Anthony Ferreira</b>	What can we learn about neuroscience use from the opposition to the neuroessentialistic account of addiction ?	<b>Helge Malmgren</b> (helge.malmgren@filosofi.gu.se)
<b>Alexander Gebharter and Nina Retzlaff</b>	A new proposal how to handle counterexamples to Markov causation a la Cartwright, or: Fixing the chemical factory	<b>Anders Strand and Gry Oftedal</b> (gry.oftedal@ifikk.uio.no)
<b>Mark Young</b>	Making or Using?: The Geiger Counter and Early Cosmic Ray Research	<b>Dragana Bozin</b> (dbozin@ifikk.uio.no)
<b>J. Brian Pitts</b>	Conservation Laws and the Philosophy of Mind	<b>James Grayot</b> (james.grayot@gmail.com)
<b>Osman Caglar Dede</b>	Assessing Behavioral Public Policies as Evidence-based Policies: Beyond Behavioral Economics, Beyond Mechanistic Evidence	<b>Sarah Wieten and Donal Khosrowi</b> (wietens@gmail.com)